

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/595,227	06/16/00	FANG		M.	991	54X204201
		mbd :	7 7	EXAMINER		
029050 PHYLLIS T.	TURNER-BRIM		.2/0925 LAW DEPART	SHAKE	RI,H	
CABOT MICRO				ART UN	IIT	PAPER NUMBER
870 NORTH CO AURORA IL 6		E	•	3723		
				DATE MAIL		7/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/595,227	FANG ET AL.				
cinconcuent cuminary		Examiner Hadi Shakari	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		Hadi Shakeri Dears on the cover sheet with the c					
Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 June 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>0:</u>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/595,227

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-23 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, "the surface of a memory..." line 1, lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 17-19, "the phosphate ion", claim 17, line 1, lacks antecedent basis, i.e., for the embodiments comprising phosphonate ion. Applicant may wish to depend claim 17 form claim 2.

Regarding claim 19, "the source" line 1 lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huynh et al., US Patent No. 6,190,237.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,227

Art Unit: 3723

Huynh et al. discloses all the limitations of claims 1-3, i.e., a slurry containing water, an oxidizing agent, abrasive material and higher than 0.04M, col. 2, lines 15-20, phosphate ion or phosphonate ion, col. 2, lines 60-67.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, PA meets the limitations, i.e., Figs. 1 and 2.

Regarding claims 7-10, PA meets the limitations, col. 2, lines 39-46.

Regarding claims 12-14, PA meets the limitations, col. 3, line 62.

Regarding claims 17-21, PA meets the limitations, col. 2, lines 60-67, and col. 3, lines 1-3.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, PA meets the limitations, col. 2, lines 15-22.

Regarding claims 7-10, PA meets the limitations, col. 2, lines 39-46.

Regarding claims 24, 25 and 27, PA meets the limitations. Regarding claim 26, intended use and limitations in the preamble and given to the workpiece are not given patenable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huynh et al., US Patent No. 6,190,237 in view of Chopra, US Patent No. 6,276,996.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,227

Art Unit: 3723

Regarding claim 4, Huynh et al. (PA) discloses all the limitations of claim 4, except for specifically disclosing the use of the slurry for nickel-phosphorus surfaces.

PA teaches the use of the slurry for metal surfaces, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the method of PA to nickel-phosphorus dependent on work-piece parameters.

7. Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huynh et al., US Patent No. 6,190,237 in view of Chopra, US Patent No. 6,276,996.

Huynh et al. as discussed above meets all the limitations of claim 11, except for disclosing the use of fixed abrasives. Chopra teaches a fixed abrasive pad. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the abrasive material of Huynh et al. with the use of fixed abrasive pad as taught by Chopra to modify the method of Huynh et al. for the use with a fixed abrasive pad.

8. Claims 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huynh et al. in view of Ishitobi et al., US Patent No. 6,152,976.

PA discloses all the limitations of the above claims, except for weight percentage of the oxidizing agent (0.1 to 5 molar). Ishitobi et al. teaches an abrasive composition with oxidizing agents greater than 0.01 wt.%. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the composition of Huynh et al. with the weight percent of oxidizer as taught by Ishitobi et al. for a better abrading action, Ishitobi et al., col. 5, line 24.

9. Prior art made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Muroyama, Earl et al., Harmer et al., Farkas et al., Perry, Haisma

et al., Swidler et al., Payne and Rader et al. are cited to show related inventions.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Hadi Shakeri at (703) 308-6279, FAX (703) 746-3279

for unofficial documents. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday,

7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

HS

DERRIS H. BANKS PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

September 12, 2001

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.